

Matthew W. Wright (014104)) Christopher S. Welker (020060)

WRIGHT WELKER & PAUOLE PLC

10429 South 51st Street, Suite 285

Phoenix, Arizona 85044

(480) 961-0040

mwright@wwpfirm.com

cwelkcr@wwpfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendant Sierra Vista Unified School District

2018 MAR 12 PH 3: 06

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

THOMAS ABRUZZO, natural father on behalf of ASHLEY ABRUZZO AND KRISTA ABRUZZO, minors,

Case No. CV201800043

Plaintiffs.

VS.

SIERRA VISTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a political subdivision of the State of Arizona; DAVID F. FALCON and JANE DOE FALCON, husband and wife,

(Assigned to the Honorable Wallace Hoggatt, Div. 3)

ANSWER

DEFENDANT SIERRA VISTA

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT'S

Defendants.

Defendant Sierra Vista Unified School District ("District"), for its answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint, hereby admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

The District lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 1. the truth of the allegations of paragraph 1 and, therefore, denies the allegations.

- 2. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 2.
- 3. In response to paragraph 3, the District admits that defendant David F. Falcon formerly worked for the District as a bus driver. The District denies any and all remaining allegations of paragraph 3.
 - 4. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 4.
 - 5. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 5.
- 6. The District alleges that paragraph 6 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph. The District further denies that any of its employees committed any acts of negligence while acting within the course and scope of their employment with the District.
 - 7. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 7.
- 8. The District alleges that paragraph 8 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph.
- 9. The District alleges that paragraph 9 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph. The District affirmatively alleges that Plaintiffs failed to properly serve a valid notice of claim on the District.
 - 10. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 10.

II. FACTS

11. The District admits the allegations of paragraph 11.

- 12. The District lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12 and, therefore, denies the allegations.
 - 13. The District denies the allegations of paragraph 13.
- 14. The District lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14 and, therefore, denies the allegations.
- 15. The District lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15 and, therefore, denies the allegations.
 - 16. The District denies the allegations of paragraph 16.

III. COUNT ONE - NEGLIGENCE

- 17. The District alleges that paragraph 17 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph.
- 18. The District alleges that paragraph 18 contains legal eonelusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph.
- 19. The District alleges that paragraph 19 contains legal conclusions that require no response. To the extent a response is required, the District denies each and every allegation in this paragraph.
- 20. The District denies the allegations of paragraph 20 to the extent that they pertain to the District.
 - 21. The District denies the allegations of paragraph 21.
 - 22. The District denies the allegations of paragraph 22.

8. The District has not yet had a reasonable opportunity to complete discovery. Because of the possibility that facts and circumstances may be discovered later, the District reserves the right to assert, and hereby incorporates by reference, all defenses contained in Rules 8 and 12, Ariz. R. Civ. P. The District further alleges any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense, including A.R.S. §§ 12-820 through and including 12-821.01.

WHERFORE, having fully defended, the District requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor on Plaintiffs' Complaint, with prejudice and on the merits, that Plaintiffs take nothing by their claims, that the District be awarded its costs of suit and attorneys' fees—if and as appropriate—and that the Court grant the District such other and further relief as is deemed just and proper under the circumstances.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2018.

WRIGHT WELKER & PAUOLE PLC

3y_____

Matthew W. Wright

Christopher S. Welker

10429 South 51st Street, Suite 285

Phoenix, Arizona 85044

Attorneys for Defendant Sierra Vista Unified School District

ORIGINAL of the foregoing mailed via Federal Express for filing this 2nd day of March, 2018, to:

Clerk of the Court Cochise County Superior Court P. O. Drawer CK

100 Quality Hill

Bisbee, Arizona 85603

2	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Federal Express this 2 nd day of March, 2018, to:
3	
4	Hon. Wallace Hoggatt
	Cochise County Superior Court P. O. Drawer CG
5	100 Quality Hill
6	Bisbee, Arizona 85603
7	COPY of the foregoing mailed
8	this 2 nd day of March, 2018, to:
9	Dev K. Sethi
10	Matthew F. Schmidt
11	Schmidt, Sethi & Akmajian 1790 E. River Road, Suite 300
12	Tucson, Arizona 85718
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	/s/Debi Handrahan 6927-1347/1348
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	